

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 19, 1999 LB 637

of this bill so that we can develop a further understanding of everything that's involved, at least before you make a decision, if your final decision is to delay this, notwithstanding all of the potential problems. Go through the bill, give it your good faith effort to make it what you think it should be, and let's see what we have after this stage of debate, maybe after the next stage of debate. And maybe at that point in time, if you're unsatisfied with the result, reject it. But at least you would have made a good faith effort to make this something that is acceptable to you. One big item, for example, you've heard Senator Matzke talk about the Colorado situation. Well that's a state that has chose to privatize, they've chose to privatize with one particular company. You're going to have an amendment up before you that would prohibit privatization in this system, something you can think about one way or another. If that Colorado decision is disturbing to you, keep the matter within public bodies and public agencies. So some of these things that are problems may go away after some debate. I think there needs to be, for example, additional thought given to communications with this new unit between people out and about the state, there ought to be a free way...free telephone access, 800 number set up so they can talk directly to the people that have the records, just as they can today, if we're going to have this kind of a system. We can put that in place. Whether you wanted to have one, or two, or three numbers, however many it takes to have adequate communication, that problem can be solved to a certain extent. Some clerks of the district court are going to lose their jobs. I'd like to put a provision in saying that they have preference for any new jobs that are created in the new...in the new...whatever the new unit is that's created. That's not going to work for everybody, but it would help some, I would think. Although it's not an argument for or against the bill directly, you might keep in mind that the fact that we are decreasing the number of employees in the clerks of the district court office and essentially switching them over to be state employees, that this is going to be a kind of financial relief to each and every county in the state. And so to the extent that any of you are concerned about the continuing pressure on county levies, this is going to create one more opportunity where there might be some relief on that levy, or, if relief is not desirable, a switching of those funds to roads or whatever other functions of county government might be important to a